

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/646,690 08/25/2003		Naoki Yoshida	0505-1234P	9964	
	7590 07/19/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH & I	PEDDER, DENNIS H			
	RCH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3612		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/646,690		YOSHIDA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Dennis H. Pe	edder	3612	
	The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the c	over sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress
Period fo					
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	JNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, communication. ty (30) days, a reply within the statutory metatutory period will apply and will exceptly will, by statute, cause the applicate the after the mailing date of this communication.	however, may a repl ry minimum of thirty (xpire SIX (6) MONTH tion to become ABAN	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on			
·	•	2b)⊠ This action is non-	ı-final.		
	Since this application is in condition	, —		rs, prosecution as to the	merits is
	closed in accordance with the pra				
Disposit i	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the	e application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	• •	ideration.		
******	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	mai o manananni	uorane		
-	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are objected	d to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to rest		uirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/a		obiected to by	v the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any ob				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ		· ·	· ·	FR 1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner. Note	the attached (Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				٠
_	Acknowledgment is made of a clai	im for foreian priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priori		eceived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priori	•		plication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copie	es of the priority document	s have been re	eceived in this National	Stage
	application from the Interna	itional Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		
* S	See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certifie	d copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment	14/c\				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4 [·]	Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	•	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/04</u> .)	ormal Patent Application (PTO	<i>)</i> -152)
• •	/ 140(0)/141011 DOLD			•	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Mounting planes" lacks antecedent to "mounting plates", two distinct structures. See also claim 6.

Claim 7 lacks antecedent to "mounting portions", found in claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by any one of Yagasaki et al., Maruoka, and Nebu et al..
- 5. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Maruoka or Nebu et al..

See spacers 18 and 10, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 2-3, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Yagasaki et al., Maruoka and Nebu et al., each separately in view of Armstrong

"Integrally formed" is not limited to --one piece--, but conveys --attached-- per dictionary definitions.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in each of the references above bosses 60,62 as taught by Armstrong in order to protect the windshield from damage.

9. Claims 5, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Yagasaki et al., Maruoka and Nebu et al. each separately in view of Armstrong as applied to claims 2 and 9 above, and further in view of Vezza.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,690

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in the referenced combinations above spacers 9 as taught by Vezza in order to space the windshield from its mounting structure in order to reduce frictional damage, for example.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 6-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

7/13/04

Denni H. Pedden

Art Unit 3612

DHP 7/12/04